## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Related Art FIGs. 2 and 3F (hereinafter "APAF") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,162,933 (hereinafter "Kakuda"). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection as being based upon Applicant's Related Art and a reference that neither teach nor suggest the novel combination of features recited by independent claim 1, and hence dependent claims 2-14.

With respect to independent claim 1, as amended, Applicant respectfully submits that neither of APAF nor Kakuda disclose a claimed combination comprising at least feature of "a metal layer formed on an entire surface of each of the data lines and <u>an entire surface of</u> the source electrode, and at peripheral portions of the drain electrode."

The Office Action admits that Applicant's Related Art FIGs. 2 and 3F discloses all the features of claim 1, "except the metal layer formed on an entire surface of each of the data line and the source electrode." Accordingly, the Office Action relies upon Kakuda for allegedly showing "an LCD device having a data line 11 with a metal layer formed on the entire surface." In addition, the Office Action alleges that "a source electrode (22) extends from the data line (col. 4, lines 50-53) and is covered with a metal layer (11b in fig. 4). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

In FIG. 4 of Kakuda, a semiconductor layer (24) is formed on the source electrode (22). The semiconductor layer (24) should bridge across a gap between the source and drain electrodes 22 and 23 (col. 4, lines 53-55).

For at least the above reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-14 are neither taught nor suggested by APAF and/or Kakuda, whether taken alone or in combination.

Thus, Applicant respectfully asserts that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) should be withdrawn because the above-discussed novel combination of features are neither taught nor suggested by any of the applied references.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 053785-5045

Application No. 10/032,056

Page 11

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and the timely

allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding

after consideration of this response, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned

representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge

the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under

37 C.R.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should

also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Robert J. Goodell

Reg. No. 41,040

Dated: November 27, 2007

**CUSTOMER NO. 009629** 

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel.: (202) 739-3000

Fax: (202) 739-3001

1-WA/2863258.1